FISCAL NOTE

Bill #: HB0049 Title: Submit to electorate user surcharge

on court filings for court technology

Term Impacts

Primary

Sponsor: Toni Hagener Status: As introduced

Spon	Sponsor signature		Date	Dave Lewis, Budget Director		, Budget Director	Date
Fisc	al Sı	ımmary	FY2000			FY2001	
Expenditures: State Special Revenue			Difference \$28,100	_		<u>Difference</u> \$0	
Revenue: State Special Revenue			\$28,100	100 \$0			
Net Impact on General Fund Balance:			\$0	\$0 \$0			
Yes	<u>No</u> X	Significant Local Gov. Impact		Yes	No X	Technical Concerns	
	X	Included in the Executive Budget			X	Significant Long-	

Fiscal Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. This bill is the electorate companion bill to HB41 which contains the fiscal impact to the judiciary for information technology.
- 2. The ballot issue introduced in HB49 will be submitted to the voters in FY2000, and will be passed by the voters.
- 3. For purposes of CI-75 and submitting HB49 to the electorate, this issue is only one of many likely to be submitted. Therefore, the impact presented in the fiscal note is only for the "extra" (marginal) cost which would be incurred by the state in preparing a Voter Information Packet (VIP) for the specific issue contained in the proposed bill. The VIP circulation is 525,000.

- 4. HB49 will require at least 5 pages of explanation to be printed in the VIP at .00236 cents per page for a total cost of \$6,200. (5 x .00236 x 525,000 = \$6,200).
- 5. Although counties also will incur a cost for distribution of the VIP, funding will be distributed to the counties by the Secretary of State for this purpose. County cost is .008356 per page for a total of cost of \$21,900. (5 x .008356 x 525,000).
- 6. Although the Secretary of State does not have a general fund budget, the cost of submitting these issues to the electorate will be supported with general fund.
- 7. In the event the HB49 surcharge is adopted, thereby generating the proposed state special revenue, the general fund would be reimbursed the \$28,100 cost of the ballot issue. (\$6,200 from # 4 and \$21,900 from # 5).
- 8. The Secretary of State will maintain records of the marginal cost for each ballot issue and notify agencies of the general fund reimbursement due after the election. Each agency will receive a one-time-only transfer appropriation for this purpose.

	FY2000 <u>Difference</u>	FY2001 <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures: Operating Expenses	\$28,100	\$0
<u>Funding:</u> State Special Revenue (2)	\$28,100	\$0

TECHNICAL NOTES:

- 1. Upon passage and approval of the HB49 ballot issue by the electorate, the Secretary of State would bill the Judiciary \$28,100 for its proportionate share of the VIP and the distribution costs incurred by the counties to be paid from the new state special revenue created by approval.
- 2. If the ballot issue were not approved, the general fund appropriated in HB2 would bear the cost.
- 3. The costs presented for the HB49 ballot issue will be included in a comprehensive analysis of the planned electorate vote(s) prior to the end of the session, based on all ballot issues adopted by the 56th Legislative Assembly.
- 4. When considering the comprehensive costs of an electorate vote, any costs greater than \$194,203 which are distributed to the counties must be funded by the legislature (1-2-112, MCA).
- 5. For FY 2000, a statewide election would have a base cost of \$690,000 for the counties and \$46,987 for the state for total general fund of \$736,987. General fund will be appropriated in HB2 to the Secretary of State for this statewide base cost and all the cumulative per-ballot-issue marginal costs at the end of the session.
- 6. In FY 2001, a tax election would be combined with a general election and would, therefore, require only marginal costs for ballot issues.